



SPECIAL UPDATE

May 22, 2018

Inside This Issue:

Overview	1
State Homeland Security Program	1
Urban Areas Security Init.	2
Operation Stonegarden	4
Port Security Grant Program	4
Key Changes	4

Fiscal Year 2018 Homeland Security Grant Programs Summary

FEMA has released the FY 2018 grant allocations under the State Homeland Security Program (SHSP) and the Urban Areas Security Initiative (UASI). Appropriations levels for SHSP and UASI remained the same as FY 2017. Within the two programs, there were some relatively minor shifts among recipients. Below are details regarding the allocations. Allocation of 25% of a state's combined State Homeland Security Program (SHSP) and UASI funds for Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention (LETP) activities remains a statutory requirement under the Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007. **For key changes from FY 2017 see [page 4](#).**

State Homeland Security Program (SHSP)

Purpose: SHSP supports the implementation of risk driven, capabilities-based State Homeland Security Strategies to address capability targets set in Urban Area, State, and regional Threat and Hazard Identification and Risk Assessments (THIRAs). The capability targets are established during the THIRA process, and assessed in the State Preparedness Report (SPR) and inform planning, organization, equipment, training, and exercise needs to prevent, protect against, mitigate, respond to, and recover from acts of terrorism and other catastrophic events.

Fiscal Year	Amount Appropriated
2018	\$402,000,000
2017	\$402,000,000
2016	\$402,000,000
2015	\$402,000,000
2014	\$401,346,000
2013	\$354,644,123
2012	\$294,000,000
2011	\$526,874,100

Links to Documents:

- [FY 2018 HSGP Grant Notice](#)
- [FY 2018 HSGP Grant Fact Sheet](#)
- [FY 2018 HSGP Key Changes](#)
- [FY 2018 Port Security Grant Notice](#)
- [FY 2017 Homeland Security Grants](#)
- [FY 2016 Homeland Security Grants](#)
- [FY 2015 Homeland Security Grants](#)

FY 2018 SHSP Allocations

State	FY 2016 Allocation	FY 2017 Allocation	FY 2018 Allocation
Alabama	\$3,734,500	\$3,752,000	\$3,980,000
Alaska	\$3,734,500	\$3,752,000	\$3,980,000
Am. Samoa	\$854,000	\$857,600	\$1,000,000
Arizona	\$4,568,000	\$4,551,000	\$3,980,000
Arkansas	\$3,734,000	\$3,752,000	\$3,980,000
California	\$60,178,500	\$60,159,500	\$59,235,000
Colorado	\$3,979,000	\$3,963,000	\$3,980,000
Connecticut	\$3,978,000	\$3,962,000	\$3,980,000
Delaware	\$3,734,500	\$3,752,000	\$3,980,000
District of Columbia	\$4,141,500	\$4,124,500	\$3,980,000
Florida	\$11,040,500	\$11,023,500	\$10,566,000
Georgia	\$6,807,000	\$6,790,000	\$6,508,000



State	FY 2016 Allocation	FY 2017 Allocation	FY 2018 Allocation
Guam	\$854,000	\$857,600	\$1,000,000
Hawaii	\$3,734,500	\$3,752,000	\$3,980,000
Idaho	\$3,734,500	\$3,752,000	\$3,980,000
Illinois	\$16,408,500	\$16,391,500	\$15,712,000
Indiana	\$3,978,000	\$3,962,000	\$3,980,000
Iowa	\$3,734,500	\$3,752,000	\$3,980,000
Kansas	\$3,734,500	\$3,752,000	\$3,980,000
Kentucky	\$3,978,000	\$3,962,000	\$3,980,000
Louisiana	\$3,978,000	\$3,962,000	\$3,980,000
Maine	\$3,734,500	\$3,752,000	\$3,980,000
Maryland	\$6,153,500	\$6,136,500	\$5,882,000
Massachusetts	\$5,645,000	\$5,628,000	\$5,395,000
Michigan	\$6,658,000	\$6,641,000	\$6,368,000
Minnesota	\$3,978,000	\$3,962,000	\$3,980,000
Mississippi	\$3,734,500	\$3,752,000	\$3,980,000
Missouri	\$3,978,000	\$3,962,000	\$3,980,000
Montana	\$3,734,500	\$3,752,000	\$3,980,000
Nebraska	\$3,734,500	\$3,752,000	\$3,980,000
Nevada	\$3,734,500	\$3,752,000	\$3,980,000
New Hampshire	\$3,734,500	\$3,752,000	\$3,980,000
New Jersey	\$8,354,000	\$8,337,000	\$7,993,000
New Mexico	\$3,734,500	\$3,752,000	\$3,980,000

State	FY 2016 Allocation	FY 2017 Allocation	FY 2018 Allocation
New York	\$76,949,000	\$76,930,000	\$76,930,000
North Carolina	\$5,489,000	\$5,472,000	\$5,246,000
North Dakota	\$3,734,500	\$3,752,000	\$3,980,000
N. Mariana Islands	\$854,000	\$857,600	\$1,000,000
Ohio	\$7,698,000	\$7,681,000	\$7,364,000
Oklahoma	\$3,734,500	\$3,752,000	\$3,980,000
Oregon	\$3,837,000	\$3,822,100	\$3,980,000
Pennsylvania	\$10,054,500	\$10,037,500	\$9,622,000
Puerto Rico	\$3,734,500	\$3,752,000	\$3,980,000
Rhode Island	\$3,734,500	\$3,752,000	\$3,980,000
South Carolina	\$3,734,500	\$3,752,000	\$3,980,000
South Dakota	\$3,734,500	\$3,752,000	\$3,980,000
Tennessee	\$3,978,000	\$3,962,000	\$3,980,000
Texas	\$21,498,000	\$21,481,000	\$20,591,000
Virgin Islands	\$854,000	\$857,600	\$1,000,000
Utah	\$3,734,500	\$3,752,000	\$3,980,000
Vermont	\$3,734,500	\$3,752,000	\$3,980,000
Virginia	\$7,445,500	\$7,428,500	\$7,120,000
Washington	\$6,493,000	\$6,476,000	\$6,208,000
West Virginia	\$3,734,500	\$3,752,000	\$3,980,000
Wisconsin	\$3,978,000	\$3,962,000	\$3,980,000
Wyoming	\$3,734,500	\$3,752,000	\$3,980,000

Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI)

Purpose: The UASI program fund addresses the risk driven and capabilities-based planning, organization, equipment, training, and exercise needs of high-threat, high-density Urban Areas based on the capability targets identified during the THIRA process and associated assessment efforts; and assists them in building an enhanced and sustainable capacity to prevent, protect against, mitigate, respond to, and recover from acts of terrorism.

Analysis: The Urban Areas Security Initiative (UASI) program received level funding for FY 2018. At the height of the program’s funding in 2010, 64 urban areas received funding. Since then, the number of urban areas receiving funding has drastically decreased. In the FY 2018 Omnibus Appropriation bill, Congress expressed its intent that DHS fund up to 85 percent of nationwide risk. Pursuant to this, DHS announced that 32 urban areas will receive funding for FY 2018, a decrease from 33 in FY 2017.

Fiscal Year	Amount Appropriated
2018	\$580,000,000
2017	\$580,000,000
2016	\$580,000,000
2015	\$587,000,000
2014	\$587,000,000
2013	\$558,745,566
2012	\$490,376,000
2011	\$662,622,100



FY 2018 UASI Allocations

State	Funded Urban Area	FY 2013 Allocation	FY 2014 Allocation	FY 2015 Allocation	FY2016 Allocation	FY2017 Allocation	FY2018 Allocation
Arizona	Phoenix Area	\$5,500,000	\$5,500,000	\$5,500,000	\$5,430,000	\$5,180,000	\$4,000,000
California	Anaheim/Santa Ana Area	\$3,000,000	\$5,500,000	\$5,500,000	\$5,430,000	\$5,180,000	\$5,000,000
	Bay Area	\$27,252,169	\$27,400,000	\$28,400,000	\$28,036,000	\$27,536,000	\$27,500,000
	Los Angeles/Long Beach Area	\$65,908,396	\$67,500,000	\$69,500,000	\$68,610,000	\$68,110,000	\$68,000,000
	Riverside Area	-	\$1,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$2,962,000	\$2,837,000	\$3,000,000
	Sacramento Area	\$3,000,000	\$1,000,000	-	\$2,962,000	\$2,837,000	\$2,500,000
	San Diego Area	\$16,873,461	\$16,874,000	\$16,874,000	\$16,658,000	\$16,158,000	\$16,700,000
Colorado	Denver Area	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$2,962,000	\$2,837,000	\$3,000,000
District of Columbia	National Capital Region	\$51,839,027	\$53,000,000	\$54,000,000	\$53,309,000	\$52,809,000	\$52,750,000
Florida	Miami/Fort Lauderdale Area	\$5,500,000	\$5,500,000	\$5,500,000	\$5,430,000	\$5,180,000	\$6,000,000
	Orlando Area	-	\$1,000,000	-	-	-	\$1,500,000
	Tampa Area	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$2,962,000	\$2,837,000	\$3,000,000
Georgia	Atlanta Area	\$5,500,000	\$5,500,000	\$5,500,000	\$5,430,000	\$8,430,000	\$6,000,000
Hawaii	Honolulu Area	-	\$1,000,000	\$3,000,000	-	-	\$1,500,000
Illinois	Chicago Area	\$67,727,836	\$69,500,000	\$69,500,000	\$68,610,000	\$68,110,000	\$68,000,000
Indiana	Indianapolis Area	-	\$1,000,000	-	-	\$1,000,000	-
Louisiana	New Orleans Area	-	\$3,000,000	-	-	-	-
Maryland	Baltimore Area	\$5,500,000	\$5,500,000	\$5,500,000	\$2,962,000	\$4,212,000	\$4,000,000
Massachusetts	Boston Area	\$17,564,687	\$18,000,000	\$18,000,000	\$17,770,000	\$17,270,000	\$17,500,000
Michigan	Detroit Area	\$5,500,000	\$5,500,000	\$5,500,000	\$5,430,000	\$5,180,000	\$5,000,000
Minnesota	Twin Cities Area	\$5,500,000	\$5,500,000	\$5,500,000	\$5,430,000	\$5,180,000	\$5,000,000
Missouri	Kansas City Area	-	\$1,000,000	-	-	-	-
	St. Louis Area	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$2,962,000	\$2,837,000	\$3,000,000
Nevada	Las Vegas Area	-	\$1,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$2,962,000	\$2,837,000	\$5,000,000
New Jersey	Jersey City/Newark Area	\$21,663,035	\$21,800,000	\$20,800,000	\$20,534,000	\$20,034,000	\$22,750,000
New York	New Your City Area	\$174,290,662	\$178,926,000	\$180,926,000	\$178,623,000	\$178,123,000	\$178,750,000
North Carolina	Charlotte Area	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$2,962,000	\$2,837,000	\$2,500,000
Ohio	Cincinnati Area	-	\$1,000,000	-	-	-	-
	Cleveland Area	-	\$1,000,000	-	\$2,962,000	\$2,837,000	-
	Columbus Area	-	\$1,000,000	-	-	-	-
Oregon	Portland Area	-	\$1,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$2,962,000	\$2,837,000	\$2,500,000
Pennsylvania	Philadelphia Area	\$17,567,086	\$18,500,000	\$18,500,000	\$18,263,000	\$17,763,000	\$17,500,000
	Pittsburgh Area	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$2,962,000	\$2,837,000	\$2,500,000
Texas	Dallas/Fort Worth/Arlington Area	\$14,622,684	\$15,500,000	\$15,500,000	\$15,302,000	\$14,802,000	\$14,800,000
	Houston Area	\$23,936,523	\$24,000,000	\$24,000,000	\$23,693,000	\$23,193,000	\$22,750,000
	San Antonio Area	-	\$1,000,000	-	-	\$1,000,000	\$1,500,000
Utah	Salt Lake City Area	-	\$1,000,000	-	-	\$1,000,000	-
Virginia	Hampton Roads Area	-	\$1,000,000	-	-	\$1,000,000	\$1,500,000
Washington	Seattle Area	\$5,500,000	\$5,500,000	\$5,500,000	\$5,430,000	\$5,180,000	\$5,000,000



Operation Stonegarden (OPSG)

Purpose: OPSG funds are intended to enhance cooperation and coordination among local, tribal, territorial, state, and Federal law enforcement agencies in a joint mission to secure the United States’ borders along routes of ingress from international borders to include travel corridors in States bordering Mexico and Canada, as well as states and territories with international water borders.

Fiscal Year	Amount Appropriated
2018	\$85,000,000
2017	\$55,000,000
2016	\$55,000,000
2015	\$55,000,000
2014	\$55,000,000
2013	\$55,000,000
2012	\$46,600,000
2011	\$54,890,000

OPSG Eligible States & Territories: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Montana, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, Wisconsin, Puerto Rico, U.S. Virgin Islands, American Samoa, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands

Port Security Grant Program (PSGP)

Purpose: The Port Security Grant Program (PSGP) directly supports maritime transportation infrastructure security activities. The vast majority of U.S. maritime critical infrastructure is owned and operated by state, local, and private sector maritime industry partners. PSGP funds available to these entities are intended to improve port-wide maritime security risk management; enhance maritime domain awareness; support maritime security training and exercises; and to maintain or reestablish maritime security mitigation protocols that support port recovery and resiliency capabilities. PSGP investments must address Coast Guard identified vulnerabilities in port security and support the prevention, detection, response, and/or recovery from attacks involving improvised explosive devices (IED) and other non-conventional weapons.

Fiscal Year	Amount Appropriated
2018	\$100,000,000
2017	\$100,000,000
2016	\$100,000,000
2015	\$100,000,000
2014	\$100,000,000
2013	\$93,207,313
2012	\$97,500,000
2011	\$235,029,000

Key Changes

Cybersecurity - SHSP and UASI recipients will be required to include an investment justification that focuses on cybersecurity projects. Recipients must limit the use of SHSP and UASI funds for projects that support the security and functioning of critical infrastructure and core capabilities as they relate to terrorism preparedness, and may simultaneously support enhanced preparedness for other hazards unrelated to acts of terrorism.

Emergency Communications - Recipients are given more guidance around emergency communications projects this year, including the requirement that any communications projects align to the Statewide Communication Interoperability Plan (SCIP) and coordination and consultation with the Statewide Interoperability Governing Body (SIGB) or Statewide Interoperability Executive Committee (SIEC). All states and territories will be required to update their SCIP by the end of the FY 2018 SHSP period of performance, with a focus on communications resilience/continuity, to include assessment and mitigation of all potential risks.

Overtime - HSGP NOFO requires additional criteria for operational overtime requests including specifying which types of overtime are eligible. Also, aside from National Terrorism Advisory System alerts (which do not require pre-approval), operational overtime must be preapproved before an event.

Fusion Centers - Fusion centers should prioritize the following capabilities in order to strengthen capacities to identify, collect, analyze, and share information: 1) Addressing Emerging Threats; 2) Analytic Capability; and 3) Technological Integration.



TRUSTED ADVISORS FOR BUSINESS
STRATEGY AND POLICY ADVOCACY

BROOKS BAWDEN MOORE

**300 M Street, SE
Suite 402
Washington, DC 20003**

Phone: (202) 350-0103

Fax: (202) 629-4528

Email:

rbrooks@bbm-dc.com

bbawden@bbm-dc.com

cmoore@bbm-dc.com

jledden@bbm-dc.com

lcooper@brooksawden.com



Brooks Bawden Moore, LLC is a team of experienced professionals who serve as trusted advisors to law enforcement, homeland security, and intelligence practitioners and solution providers.

Our government relations practice helps clients advance critical policy priorities with Congress, federal agencies, and state legislatures. Our Capitol Hill experience and track record of successful advocacy for public safety causes is unmatched. Our business strategy consulting practice enables companies to connect innovative products and services with market needs. Through decades of service we have built a deep network of relationships at the local, state, and federal levels.

Visit us at:

www.bbm-dc.com

**Subscribe to the Brooks Bawden Moore
Weekly Update [here](#), or by emailing Josh at
jledden@brooksawden.com**